

JOB CHARACTERISTICS AND DISCIPLINE AS PREDICTORS OF EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE: THE MODERATING ROLE OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

Gusti Ayu Dewi Purnamawati¹, Sugeng Mulyono², Djuni Farhan³

¹ Master of Management, Postgraduate, Universitas Gajayana Malang

^{2,3} Lecturer Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Gajayana Malang

Corresponding Author: Gusti Ayu Dewi Purnamawati

ABSTRACT: *This study examines the influence of job characteristics and discipline on employee performance, with organizational culture as a moderating variable. Employee performance is a fundamental factor in achieving organizational effectiveness, therefore, understanding its key determinants is crucial. This study used a quantitative approach with a survey method of employees in a public sector organization. The study population was 99 employees of the Land Office in Jembrana Regency, Bali, Indonesia. Given the population size of less than 100 people, the researcher chose to use a census method due to the relatively small population size, thus selecting all members of the population as samples. Data collection used questionnaires distributed to respondents. Data were analyzed using PLS SEM to test for direct and moderating effects. The results showed that job characteristics and discipline had a significant positive effect on employee performance. Furthermore, organizational culture was shown to strengthen the relationship between job characteristics and discipline and performance. These findings emphasize the importance of appropriate job design, consistent discipline, and strengthening a conducive organizational culture as an integrated strategy for sustainably improving employee performance.*

KEYWORDS - Job Characteristics, Discipline, Organizational Culture, and Employee Performance

I. INTRODUCTION

Employee performance is a crucial aspect of organizational success, both in the public and private sectors. High performance reflects an individual's effectiveness in completing tasks according to established standards and contributing to the achievement of organizational goals (Robbins & Judge, 2019). Conversely, low performance can hinder productivity, reduce service quality, and weaken organizational competitiveness (Mangkunegara, 2020). Therefore, various factors influencing employee performance need to be comprehensively examined, including job characteristics, discipline, and organizational culture (Luthans, 2015).

Job characteristics are one of the internal factors that significantly influence employee performance. The job characteristics model introduced by Parker (2024) emphasizes that dimensions such as skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback can increase employee intrinsic motivation, which in turn positively impacts performance. Employees who have jobs characterized by challenges and meaning tend to feel more satisfied and are motivated to perform better (Humphrey et al., 2007). Previous research has shown that when job characteristics are properly designed, employees will have a high sense of responsibility for their work and be more motivated to achieve organizational targets (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

Furthermore, discipline is also a crucial factor influencing employee performance. Work discipline can be defined as an individual's willingness to comply with applicable regulations, follow established procedures, and carry out tasks responsibly (Siagian, 2015). Employees with high levels of discipline tend to be more organized in their work, avoid tardiness, and adhere to applicable regulations, resulting in optimal performance. Several empirical studies have shown a positive relationship between work discipline and employee performance. For example, research by Sutrisno (2016) found that discipline plays a significant role in increasing work effectiveness, especially in organizations with high performance standards.

While job characteristics and discipline influence performance, organizational culture also needs to be considered as a variable that can strengthen or weaken this relationship. Organizational culture is defined as a system of values, beliefs, and norms shared by members of an organization that guides daily behavior (Schein, 2017). A strong culture can encourage employees to be more committed, collaborative, and maintain high-quality performance. Conversely, a weak culture can lead to inconsistent behavior, low motivation, and decreased work productivity.

Previous research indicates that organizational culture can act as a moderating variable in the relationship between individual or situational factors and employee performance. For example, research by Zhang et al. (2023) showed that an organizational culture embodying psychological safety, collectivism, and

low power distance indirectly (through the social context and performance management) improves innovation performance. While not exactly "consistent" as defined by cultural consistency, the cultural elements of stability and norm clarity are quite close. In the context of the relationship between job characteristics and performance, a strong organizational culture can strengthen employees' perceptions of the importance of responsibility and meaning in their work. Meanwhile, in the relationship between discipline and performance, organizational culture plays a role in enforcing norms, providing role models, and creating a work environment that encourages discipline.

However, in practice, many organizations still face challenges related to low employee performance. Some common problems that frequently arise include lack of motivation, low work discipline, and suboptimal job design. On the other hand, organizational culture in a number of agencies remains weak, characterized by low internalization of organizational values, weak employee commitment, and deviant behavior. This situation highlights the need for more in-depth research into how job characteristics and discipline can influence employee performance, as well as how organizational culture acts as a moderating variable in this relationship.

Empirically, studies on the influence of job characteristics and discipline on employee performance, with organizational culture as a moderator, are still relatively limited, particularly in the public sector in Indonesia (Sutanto & Ratnawati, 2019; Rachmawati, 2021). Yet, public sector organizations play a strategic role in providing public services, making improving employee performance a pressing need (Mahsun, 2016; Dwiyanto, 2018). This research is expected to contribute to filling this research gap and provide a basis for consideration for policymakers in designing strategies to improve employee performance (Wibowo, 2020; Sedarmayanti, 2017).

Therefore, this research is crucial to answer the question of whether job characteristics and discipline can improve employee performance, and how organizational culture can strengthen or weaken this influence. The findings of this study are expected to not only enrich academic literature, but also provide practical implications for more effective and sustainable human resource management.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

2.1. The Influence of Job Characteristics on Employee Performance

Job characteristics play a crucial role in improving employee performance. Parker (2024), through the Job Characteristics Model, asserts that five core dimensions influence employee motivation and performance: skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback. These dimensions shape critical psychological conditions for employees, such as a sense of meaningfulness in their work, personal responsibility, and understanding of work outcomes. If these psychological conditions are met, employees will experience high intrinsic motivation, which positively impacts performance.

Theoretically, jobs designed with rich characteristics enable employees to experience meaning in their work. Robbins and Judge (2019) state that when employees perceive their work as rewarding and challenging, they are motivated to give their best effort. Furthermore, high autonomy fosters a sense of responsibility, while clear feedback provides employees with insight into their work effectiveness.

Empirical research supports this framework. Putra and Riana (2018) found that task identity and task significance increase employee commitment and performance. Wicaksono and Prasetyo (2020) also showed that autonomy contributes significantly to work performance because employees feel trusted in decision-making. Meanwhile, Luthans (2011) emphasized that job satisfaction derived from jobs with positive characteristics will impact productivity and effectiveness. Based on this explanation, the following hypothesis is formulated:

Hypothesis 1: Job characteristics have a positive effect on employee performance

2.2. The Influence of Discipline on Employee Performance

Discipline is a fundamental factor influencing employee performance in an organization. Discipline is defined as an individual's compliance with applicable rules, procedures, and norms, both written and unwritten (Hasibuan, 2019). Employees with a high level of discipline tend to manage their time effectively, work according to established standards, and maintain consistency in completing tasks. Thus, discipline is the primary foundation for achieving optimal productivity and work quality.

Theoretically, discipline is closely related to self-control theory and organizational behavior theory, which emphasize the importance of adherence to rules as a determinant of effective work behavior (Robbins & Judge, 2019). Good discipline creates order, reduces the potential for errors, and increases work efficiency. Furthermore, discipline fosters a sense of individual responsibility, which in turn increases motivation and loyalty to the organization (Mangkunegara, 2017).

Empirical research supports this positive relationship. Putri and Rahmawati (2020) found that work discipline significantly influences employee performance, as employees who comply with regulations are more focused in achieving targets. Similarly, Rahayu and Suryani (2019) emphasized that consistent work discipline contributes to increased productivity and service quality in public organizations. Meanwhile, research by

Simanjuntak (2011) showed that good discipline can create a conducive work climate, thus motivating employees to work more effectively. Based on this explanation, the following hypothesis is formulated:

Hypothesis 2: Discipline has a positive effect on employee performance

2.3. The Influence of Organizational Culture on Employee Performance

Organizational culture is a collective agreement among members of an organization or company, facilitating the formation of broader agreements for individual interests. Therefore, organizational culture plays a crucial role in an organization because it ensures the achievement of organizational goals. This crucial role is inseparable from the primacy of organizational culture, which serves as a controller and guide in shaping the attitudes and behaviors of individuals involved in organizational activities. Individuals and groups are inextricably linked to organizational culture, and they are generally influenced by the diversity of available resources that stimulate their actions (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

Organizational culture is also a value system that embodies the organization's ideals as both an internal and external social system. This is reflected in the organization's vision, mission, and goals. In other words, every organization, including its members, should have dreams or ideals. This is because the vision of a better organizational culture is undoubtedly a desirable one for every company. This vision focuses on maintaining and enhancing organizational culture, while also addressing any weaknesses and deficiencies. Therefore, implementing a better organizational culture is expected to change the attitudes, performance, and behavior of human resources to achieve higher work productivity in facing future challenges (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

Several previous studies have found that organizational culture significantly influences employee performance, including those by Wua et al. (2022), Kuswati (2020), and Lumbantobing (2019). Based on this explanation, the following hypothesis is formulated:

Hypothesis 3: Organizational culture has a positive effect on employee performance.

2.4. Organizational culture strengthens the influence of job characteristics on employee performance.

Organizational culture is a crucial factor that can strengthen or weaken the relationship between job characteristics and employee performance. Job characteristics, including skill variety, task identity, task significance, autonomy, and feedback, can significantly enhance intrinsic motivation and employee performance. However, these positive effects are not consistently observed without a supportive organizational culture (Hackman & Oldham, 1980; Schein, 2017; Robbins & Judge, 2019). In other words, organizational culture acts as a moderating variable, determining the strength or weakness of the influence of job characteristics on performance (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

In the context of an organization with a strong culture, shared values, norms, and beliefs held by members can strengthen the implementation of job characteristics. For example, an organizational culture that emphasizes collaboration, innovation, and accountability will encourage employees to optimally utilize autonomy and feedback in their work. This allows employees to be more focused in developing their potential and producing high performance (Schein, 2017). Conversely, a weak or unsupportive organizational culture can reduce the effectiveness of job characteristics. Even when tasks are significant and skill variety is high, employees tend to be less motivated if the organizational culture does not emphasize work quality or recognize individual contributions (Deal & Kennedy, 2000).

Empirical research shows that the interaction between job characteristics and organizational culture results in variations in employee performance. An adaptive organizational culture has been shown to strengthen the positive relationship between job design and performance outcomes by creating a conducive environment for employees to feel valued and supported (Schein, 2017; Robbins & Judge, 2019; Wikaningrum & Yuniawan, 2018). In this case, organizational culture serves as a psychological context that facilitates how job characteristics translate into productive work behavior. Based on this explanation, the following hypothesis is formulated:

Hypothesis 4: Organizational culture moderates the effect of job characteristics on employee performance

2.5. Organizational culture strengthens the influence of discipline on employee performance

Organizational culture is a set of values, beliefs, and norms shared by members of an organization that then serve as guidelines for behavior and work (Schein, 2017). A strong culture creates a shared identity, strengthens employee engagement, and serves as a benchmark for maintaining discipline. In the context of employee performance, discipline, including adherence to rules, responsibility, and punctuality, is often influenced by the prevailing organizational culture. This suggests that organizational culture can act as a moderating factor, strengthening or weakening the relationship between discipline and employee performance (Schein, 2017; Robbins & Judge, 2019; Wibowo, 2020).

Discipline is a fundamental factor in achieving optimal performance. Disciplined employees tend to complete tasks on time, work according to procedures, and reduce error rates (Hasibuan, 2019). However, discipline based solely on formal rules without internalizing organizational values is often mechanical and less

sustainable. Therefore, the presence of a conducive organizational culture can strengthen the influence of discipline on performance improvement.

When an organizational culture emphasizes the values of responsibility, openness, and results-orientedness, employee discipline is not merely viewed as an administrative obligation but also as part of a moral commitment to achieving organizational goals (Robbins & Judge, 2019). Conversely, if the organizational culture is weak or unsupportive, discipline often becomes merely a formality, thus limiting its impact on performance.

Several studies have shown that organizational culture plays a significant role in strengthening the effectiveness of discipline. For example, research by Luthans (2015) confirmed that a strong organizational culture can increase employee behavioral consistency in implementing work discipline, thus positively impacting performance. Furthermore, other studies indicate that organizational culture can strengthen employees' intrinsic motivation for discipline, not only because of rules, but also because of alignment with their values (Schein, 2017; Lok & Crawford, 2004). Based on this explanation, the following hypothesis is formulated:

Hypothesis 5: Organizational culture strengthens the influence of discipline on employee performance.

III. METHODS

3.1. Population and Sample

The study population was 99 employees of the Jembrana Regency Land Office, Bali, Indonesia. According to Arikunto (2016), if the population is less than 100 people, the entire sample is taken. However, if the population is larger than 100 people, 10-15% or 20-25% of the population can be taken. Therefore, the researcher chose to use a saturated sampling technique due to the relatively small population size. Therefore, the sample used in this study was 99 employees.

To obtain relevant and valid data, the data collection method used a questionnaire distributed to respondents. The research instrument used a Likert scale, a psychometric scale commonly used in survey research. The response variations for the four research variables: job characteristics (X1), discipline (X2), organizational culture (M), and employee performance (Y) were measured using a Likert scale with five categories: strongly agree, agree, somewhat agree, disagree, and strongly disagree.

3.2. Data Analysis

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) – PLS was used for data analysis. The decision to use SEM-PLS data analysis was based on the following considerations: (1) it does not require normally distributed data, (2) it can use small sample sizes, (3) it does not require randomization of the sample, (4) it can use measurement scales other than intervals, (5) it can use formative indicators to measure latent variables, (6) it is suitable for use as a procedure for developing theory in the early stages, and (7) it allows for very complex models with many latent variables and indicators (Ghozali, 2008).

SEM-PLS data processing requires two stages to assess model fit: evaluation of the measurement model (outer model) and analysis of the structural model (inner model). Outer model analysis is conducted to ensure that the measurements used are appropriate for measurement, valid, and reliable. Outer model analysis specifies the relationships between latent variables and their indicators. Inner model analysis is conducted to ensure that the constructed structural model is accurate. This analysis demonstrates the relationships between variables in accordance with theoretical studies and previous research findings.

3.3. Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing was conducted to determine whether each hypothesis proposed in this study could be accepted. Hypothesis testing was conducted as follows: (a) Determining the significance level or critical value (alpha) at 5%. (b) Comparing the t-statistic value in the bootstrapping output of the smart PLS 3.0 program with the t-table value. The t-table value for a 5% alpha value is 1.96, so the criterion for accepting the hypothesis is when the t-statistics exceed the t-table (1.96) (Hair et al., 2019; Ghozali & Latan, 2015).

IV. FINDINGS

4.1. Outer Model Evaluation

The measurement model demonstrates the relationship between manifest variables or measurement items and the latent variables in the study. These tests include convergent validity, discriminant validity, and composite reliability.

4.2. Convergent Validity

Convergent validity is determined based on the principle that measures of a construct should have a high correlation. The convergent validity of a construct with reflective indicators is evaluated using the Average Variance Extracted (AVE). According to Ghozali and Latan (2015), the convergent validity test uses the parameter Average Variance Extracted (AVE) > 0.5. The following are the results of the convergent validity test.

Table 1. Average Variance Extracted (AVE)

Variables	Average variance extracted (AVE)
Job Characteristics	0.536
Discipline	0.658
Organizational Culture	0.630
Employee Performance	0.653

Based on Table 1, it is known that the AVE value for each construct is >0.5. Therefore, the convergent validity test results are met because all items in each construct can be declared valid.

4.3. Discriminant Validity

Discriminant validity aims to determine whether a reflective indicator is truly a good measure of its construct. In this study, the discriminant validity test uses the Fornell-Larcker Criterion, which compares the square root of the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for each construct with the correlation between the other constructs in the model.

Table 2 Fornell-Larcker Criterion

Variables	Organizational Culture	Job Characteristics	Discipline	Employee Performance
Job Characteristics	0.794			
Discipline	0.764	0.832		
Organizational Culture	0.751	0.800	0.811	
Employee Performance	0.732	0.751	0.748	0.808

Based on the discriminant validity test using the Fornell–Larcker Criterion, the square root of the AVE for each construct is greater than the correlation value between constructs. Thus, each construct in the research model can be said to have adequate discriminant validity.

4.4. Reliability Indicators

To measure the reliability of a construct in SEM-PLS, two methods are used: Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability. This research instrument is considered reliable if the composite reliability value is >0.7 and the Cronbach's Alpha value is >0.7. The results of the reliability test are presented in the following table.

Table 3. Reliability Test Results

Variables	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)
Job Characteristics (X1)	0.904	0.923
Discipline (X2)	0.934	0.938
Organizational Culture (M)	0.953	0.968
Employee Performance (Y)	0.940	0.943

Table 3 shows that all constructs had Cronbach's Alpha values > 0.70 and Composite Reliability values > 0.70. According to Ghazali and Latan (2015), a construct or variable is considered reliable if it has a Cronbach's Alpha value > 0.70. This research instrument is considered reliable if the composite reliability value is > 0.7, and a composite reliability value closer to 1 indicates higher internal consistency reliability (Hair et al., 2017). Therefore, it can be concluded that all research constructs are reliable.

4.5. Structural Model Evaluation

The structural model evaluation aims to predict the relationships between latent variables using R-square. R-square values of 0.67, 0.33, and 0.19 indicate strong, moderate, and weak models, respectively (Chin et al., 1998). The coefficient of determination is a method for assessing how much an endogenous construct can be explained by an exogenous construct.

Table 4. Coefficient of Determination (R2)

Variables	R-square	R-square adjusted
Employee Performance (Y)	0.966	0.964

Based on Table 4, the R-Square value for the endogenous construct of employee performance is 0.966, or 96.6%. These results indicate that job characteristics, discipline, and organizational culture contribute 96.6% to employee performance. According to Sarstedt et al. (2017), the coefficient of determination (R2) indicates a strong model, as it is greater than 0.50.

4.6. Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing was conducted to determine the influence of job characteristics and discipline on employee performance, both directly and through moderation by organizational culture. The following table presents the results of the hypothesis testing.

Table 5. Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	T-Statistics	P-Values	Decision
Job Characteristics ---> Employee Performance	2.022	0.058	Accepted
Discipline ---> Employee Performance	12.664	0.000	Accepted
Budaya Organisasi ---> Employee Performance	7.779	0.000	Accepted
Organizational Culture * Job Characteristics ---> Employee Performance	2.153	0.031	Accepted
Organizational Culture * Discipline ---> Employee Performance	2.965	0.003	Accepted

Based on table 5, it can be seen that the five hypotheses proposed in this study can all be accepted because each influence shown has a t-Statistics value > 1.96 and P-Value < 0.05.

V. DISCUSSION

5.1. The Influence of Job Characteristics on Employee Performance

Table 5 shows that the significance value of the job characteristics variable on employee performance is $0.058 < \alpha (0.05)$, and the t-statistic ($2.022 > t\text{-table } (2.018)$). This means that job characteristics have a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Measurable job characteristics will lead to increased employee performance. Job characteristics, including skill variety, task identity, significance, autonomy, and feedback, are closely related to employee performance. Well-designed jobs can increase intrinsic motivation, a sense of responsibility, and job satisfaction. This positively impacts productivity, quality of output, and employee contributions to achieving organizational goals. These findings support the research findings of Ulfani & Alam (2024), Dunan & Fahlevi (2024), and Priyono et al. (2020), which found that job characteristics significantly influence employee performance.

Furthermore, job characteristics such as skill variety, task identity, significance, autonomy, and feedback significantly influence employee performance. Good job design fosters intrinsic motivation, satisfaction, and a sense of responsibility. These conditions encourage greater productivity, quality output, and stronger organizational commitment (Hackman & Oldham, 1980; Humphrey et al., 2007).

5.2. The Influence of Discipline on Employee Performance

Based on Table 5, the significance value of the discipline variable on employee performance is $0.000 < \alpha (0.05)$, and the t-statistic ($12.664 > t\text{-table } (2.018)$). This means that discipline has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. Strong discipline will lead to increased employee performance. Discipline is closely related to employee performance because it encourages orderliness, compliance with rules, and responsibility in completing work. Disciplined employees tend to work on time, maintain consistency, and focus on achieving targets, thus contributing positively to organizational effectiveness and improving the quality of work results. This finding supports the research findings of Antika (2023), Surajiyo et al. (2021), and Jaya et al. (2022), which state that work discipline has a significant effect on performance.

Hasibuan (2018) emphasized that discipline is a crucial function of human resource management, as better employee discipline leads to higher work performance. Sinambela (2012) also added that discipline teaches employees to comply with procedures, resulting in good performance. Therefore, high discipline is a key factor in improving employee performance.

5.3. The Influence of Organizational Culture on Employee Performance

Table 5 shows that the significance value of the organizational culture variable on employee performance is $0.000 < \alpha (0.05)$, and the t-statistic ($7.779 > t\text{-table } (2.018)$). This means that organizational culture has a positive and significant effect on employee performance. A strong organizational culture will lead to increased employee performance. A strong organizational culture can shape positive work behaviors, increase discipline, and encourage intrinsic employee motivation. The values, norms, and customs prevailing in the organization serve as work guidelines, creating a sense of togetherness and responsibility. Thus, organizational culture contributes significantly to the sustainable improvement of employee performance. This finding supports the research findings of Wua et al. (2022) and Kuswati (2020), which state that organizational culture plays a significant role in improving performance.

Organizational culture plays a crucial role in strengthening employee performance because it can shape work behaviors that align with the organization's vision and mission. The unwritten values, norms, and rules embedded in organizational culture can serve as guidelines for employees in carrying out their duties with full responsibility, discipline, and loyalty. Furthermore, a strong culture fosters a sense of community, increases

intrinsic motivation, and strengthens work commitment, encouraging employees to deliver their best performance. Thus, organizational culture serves not only as a corporate identity but also as a strategic tool in creating productive employees who are oriented towards achieving organizational goals (Schein, 2017; Robbins & Judge, 2019; Luthans, 2015).

5.4. Organizational Culture Moderates the Effect of Job Characteristics on Employee Performance

Table 5 shows that organizational culture plays a moderating role in the influence of job characteristics on employee performance, as indicated by T-statistics > 1.96 ($2.153 > 1.96$) and P-values < 0.05 ($0.031 < 0.05$). This indicates that job characteristics influence employee performance, moderated by organizational culture. Organizational culture plays a significant role in moderating the influence of job characteristics on employee performance. Clearly designed job characteristics can increase motivation, but their effectiveness is greatly influenced by the prevailing organizational culture. A strong culture creates value alignment, togetherness, and a sense of belonging, thus increasing employee commitment to carrying out their duties. Thus, organizational culture strengthens the positive impact of job characteristics on continuously improving employee performance.

Organizational culture plays a significant role in moderating the influence of job characteristics on employee performance (Sari & Nugroho, 2020). Clear, challenging, and meaningful job characteristics can increase individual motivation and performance (Hackman & Oldham, 1980). However, these positive impacts are more optimal if supported by an adaptive and strong organizational culture, as culture creates value alignment, togetherness, and a positive work orientation (Robbins & Judge, 2019). Thus, organizational culture can strengthen the relationship between job design and employee performance outcomes, making them more productive and committed (Robbins & Judge, 2019; Schein, 2017).

5.5. Organizational Culture Moderates the Effect of Discipline on Employee Performance

Table 5 shows that organizational culture plays a moderating role in the influence of discipline on employee performance, as indicated by T-statistics > 1.96 ($2.965 > 1.96$) and P-values < 0.05 ($0.003 < 0.05$). This indicates that discipline influences employee performance, moderated by organizational culture. Organizational culture plays a significant role in moderating the influence of discipline on employee performance. Consistently applied discipline will be more effective when supported by a strong, adaptive, and value-oriented organizational culture. Thus, discipline arises not only from rules but also from cultural alignment, which ultimately drives optimal employee performance. Research by Jaya et al. (2022) and Lumbantobing (2019) indicates that work discipline influences employee performance, moderated by organizational culture.

Organizational culture plays a crucial role as a moderating variable in the influence of discipline on employee performance. Consistently applied discipline will be more effective in improving performance if supported by a strong, adaptive, and value-oriented organizational culture (Schein, 2017; Robbins & Judge, 2019). Organizational culture can strengthen intrinsic motivation, so that discipline is not merely seen as an obligation but as part of a work commitment. This, in turn, can lead to more optimal and sustainable employee performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study yielded several important findings related to job characteristics, discipline, and organizational culture in influencing employee performance. First, job characteristics have a positive effect on performance. Clarity of job descriptions, level of autonomy, skill diversity, and feedback have been shown to increase employee motivation, focus, and ability to complete work according to organizational targets and standards. This confirms that job characteristics are a fundamental factor in increasing work effectiveness.

Second, discipline contributes significantly to performance. Discipline is reflected in compliance with rules, punctuality, and a willingness to carry out obligations without coercion. Disciplined employees are able to maintain consistency, reduce errors, and create an orderly work environment, thus optimizing their performance.

Third, organizational culture has a significant effect on performance through the implementation of values, norms, and rules that create a harmonious work environment. A strong culture fosters employee motivation, discipline, and a sense of responsibility, resulting in sustained productivity increases.

Fourth, organizational culture has been shown to moderate the influence of job characteristics and discipline on performance. A conducive culture strengthens direction, identity, and togetherness, while a weak culture weakens these relationships. Thus, performance improvement is influenced by internal factors as well as strengthened by external factors in the form of organizational culture.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Antika, F. P. (2023). The Influence of Work Motivation and Work Discipline on Employee Performance. *West Science Business and Management*, 1(1), 31-36.
- [2]. Arikunto, S. (2016). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

- [3]. Chin, W., W. (1998). *The partial least squares approach for structural equation modeling*. In George A. Marcoulides (Ed.), *Modern Methods for Business Research*, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- [4]. Denison, D. R., & Mishra, A. K. (1995). Toward a theory of organizational culture and effectiveness. *Organization Science*, 6(2), 204–223.
- [5]. Dwiyanto, A. (2018). *Reformasi Birokrasi Publik di Indonesia*. Gadjah Mada University Press.
- [6]. Dunan, H., & Fahlevi, F. (2024). The Influence of Individual Characteristics and Job Characteristics on the Performance of PT Surya Bumi Sentosa Employees. *International Journal of Economics, Business and Innovation Research (IJEIBIR)*, 3(5), 448-465.
- [7]. Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). *Partial least squares: Konsep, teknik dan aplikasi menggunakan program SmartPLS 3.0* (2nd ed.). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- [8]. Hair, J. R., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. California: SAGE Publications.
- [9]. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 16(2), 250–279.
- [10]. Humphrey, S. E., Nahrgang, J. D., & Morgeson, F. P. (2007). Integrating motivational, social, and contextual work design features: A meta-analytic summary and theoretical extension of the work design literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(5), 1332–1356.
- [11]. Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1980). *Work redesign*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- [12]. Humphrey, S. E., Nahrgang, J. D., & Morgeson, F. P. (2007). Integrating motivational, social, and contextual work design features: A meta-analytic summary and theoretical extension of the work design literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(5), 1332–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.5.1332>.
- [13]. Hasibuan, M. S. P. (2019). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Bumi Aksara.
- [14]. Jaya, U. A., Sugiarto, Y., & Gumilar, M. F. P. (2022). The Role of Organizational Culture in Moderating the Effect of Work Discipline on Employee Performance. *Journal Sampurasun: Interdisciplinary Studies for Cultural Heritage*, 8(1), 11-25.
- [15]. Kuswati, Y. (2020). The Influence of Organizational Culture on Employee Performance. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, 3(1), 296-302.
- [16]. Lok, P., & Crawford, J. (2004). The effect of organisational culture and leadership style on job satisfaction and organisational commitment: A cross-national comparison. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(4), 321–338. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02621710410529785>
- [17]. Luthans, F. (2015). *Organizational Behavior: An Evidence-Based Approach*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [18]. Luthans, F. (2011). *Organizational Behavior*. McGraw-Hill.
- [19]. Lumbantobing, R., (2019). Organizational Culture Moderates the Mediating Effect of Motivation on the Influence of Discipline and Incentives toward Employees Performance. *Jurnal Ilmiah MEA (Manajemen, Ekonomi, & Akuntansi)*, 3(1), 214-220.
- [20]. Mangkunegara, A. A. A. P. (2017). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [21]. Mahsun, M. (2016). *Pengukuran Kinerja Sektor Publik*. BPFE.
- [22]. Parker, S. K. (2024). “The SMART model of work design: A higher order structure to help see the wood from the trees.” *Human Resource Management*. 63(2):265-29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.22200>
- [23]. Putri, A., & Rahmawati, I. (2020). Disiplin kerja dan pengaruhnya terhadap kinerja pegawai. *Jurnal Manajemen*.
- [24]. Putra, I. G. A., & Riana, I. G. (2018). Job characteristics and employee performance. *Jurnal Ekonomi & Bisnis*.
- [25]. Priyono, B. S., Murdiyanto, A., Indrianingrum, K., & Afriana, E. M. (2020). The Role of Organizational Culture as Moderating Variable in the Influence of Person-Organization Fit and Job Characteristics on Performance. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 169, 435-439.
- [26]. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational Behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.
- [27]. Rachmawati, I. (2021). Pengaruh karakteristik pekerjaan dan disiplin kerja terhadap kinerja pegawai. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Organisasi*, 12(2), 145–156.
- [28]. Rahayu, N., & Suryani, D. (2019). Pengaruh disiplin kerja terhadap kinerja. *Jurnal Administrasi Publik*.
- [29]. Schein, E. H. (2017). *Organizational Culture and Leadership* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- [30]. Siagian, S. P. (2015). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Bumi Aksara.
- [31]. Sutrisno, E. (2016). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia*. Kencana.
- [32]. Sutanto, E. M., & Ratnawati, I. (2019). Organizational culture and employee performance: the mediating role of organizational commitment. *Journal of Applied Management*, 17(3), 453–461.

- [33]. Sedarmayanti. (2017). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia: Reformasi Birokrasi dan Manajemen Pegawai Negeri Sipil*. Refika Aditama.
- [34]. Sari, D. P., & Nugroho, A. (2020). Pengaruh karakteristik pekerjaan terhadap kinerja karyawan dengan budaya organisasi sebagai variabel moderasi. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Organisasi*, 11(2), 145–156.
- [35]. Surajiyo, Suwarno., Kesuma, I. M., & Gustiherawati, T. (2021). The Effect of Work Discipline on Employees Performance with Motivation as a Moderating Variables in the Inspectorate Office of Musi Rawas District. *International Journal of Community Service & Engagement (IJCSE)*, 2(1), 1-12.
- [36]. Sinambela, L. P. (2012). *Kinerja Pegawai: Teori Pengukuran dan Implikasi*. Edisi Pertama. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- [37]. Simanjuntak, P. (2011). *Manajemen dan Evaluasi Kinerja*. Lembaga Penerbit FE-UI.
- [38]. Ulfani, M., & Alam, I. A. (2024). The Influence of Job Characteristics and Placement on Performance at Lampung Bank. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies (IJPSAT)*, 43(1), 302-313.
- [39]. Wibowo. (2020). *Manajemen Kinerja*. Rajawali Pers.
- [40]. Wicaksono, A., & Prasetyo, A. (2020). Pengaruh karakteristik pekerjaan terhadap kinerja pegawai. *Jurnal Manajemen*.
- [41]. Wikaningrum, T., & Yuniawan, A. (2018). The influence of work characteristics and organizational culture on employee performance mediated by work motivation. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, 9(2), 182–190. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v9i2.14126>
- [42]. Wua, I. W. G., Noermijati, N., & Yuniarinto, A. (2022). The Influence of Organizational Culture on the Employee Performance Mediated by Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment. *Journal of Applied Management (JAM)*, 20(3), 542-551.
- [43]. Zhang, W., Zeng, X., Liang, H., Xue, Y., & Cao, X. (2023). Understanding How Organizational Culture Affects Innovation Performance: A Management Context Perspective. *Sustainability*, <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086644>

**Corresponding Author: Gusti Ayu Dewi Purnamawati
Master of Management, Postgraduate, Universitas Gajayana Malang*