American International Journal of Business Management (AIJBM)
ISSN- 2379-106X, www.aijbm.com Volume 9, Issue 07 (July - 2026), PP 01-07

Understanding Corruption Propensity among Public Officials: Behavioral Analysis and Civic Education Interventions

Glenn L. Velmonte, Ph.D., J.D., Ed.D.
Cebu Normal University
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0145-7809

ABSTRACT:- Public corruption remains one of the most persistent challenges confronting democratic governance in the Philippines. This study examines selected high-profile corruption cases involving prominent political figures and public officials using a doctrinal and socio-legal approach. The paper analyzes the legal controversies surrounding the misuse of public funds, procurement irregularities, and abuse of governmental authority.

Understanding this, readers will see that politicians' decisions are based on the party's will, not on the truth. Regardless of the consequences of the decisions, practicality to stay in power prevails over reality. This utilitarian mentality leads to bribery, which in turn breeds government distrust. The paper argues that despite constitutional and statutory safeguards, enforcement challenges, political influence, and prolonged judicial proceedings continue to weaken anti-corruption efforts. Nevertheless, several landmark prosecutions demonstrate the growing significance of judicial accountability and public demand for transparency. The study concludes that strengthening institutional independence, enhancing procurement transparency, improving whistleblower protections, and promoting civic participation are essential in combating corruption and restoring public confidence in governance.

Keywords: *corruption, plunder, PDAF scam, public accountability, procurement anomalies, Philippine politics, Ombudsman, Sandiganbayan, local government corruption*

I. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

1. What is the level of corruption propensity among government officials in terms of:
    - personal values,
    - ethical awareness,
    - accountability,
    - transparency, and
    - Susceptibility to bribery?
2. What factors influence the propensity of corruption among government officials in terms of:
    - socio-economic factors,
    - political environment,
    - organizational culture,
    - level of education, and
    - Enforcement of anti-corruption laws?
3. What are the effects of corruption propensity on public service delivery and public trust?
4. Based on the findings, what civic education curriculum can be proposed to help prevent corruption and promote ethical behavior among future public servants?

II. Research Methodology

Research Design

This study utilized a qualitative doctrinal and descriptive research design to examine corruption cases involving high-profile political figures and public officials in the Philippines. The research focused on analyzing legal documents, jurisprudence, government records, news reports, and academic literature related to public accountability, graft, plunder, and procurement irregularities. A case study approach was employed to provide an in-depth examination of selected individuals and institutions implicated in corruption controversies.

Sources of Data

The study relied primarily on secondary data obtained from credible and publicly accessible sources, including:
1. Decisions and resolutions of the Sandiganbayan and the Supreme Court of the Philippines;
2. Records and official statements from the Office of the Ombudsman;
3. Philippine laws such as the Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practices Act (R.A. No. 3019), the Plunder Law (R.A. No. 7080), and procurement laws;

4. Published journal articles, books, and legal commentaries;
5. Reputable newspaper reports and investigative media publications concerning corruption cases.

Sampling Technique

Purposive sampling was used in selecting the cases and personalities included in the study. The selected respondents and cases were chosen based on their public significance, legal impact, and relevance to issues of corruption and governance in the Philippines. High-profile cases involving national officials, local government officials, and law enforcement personnel were specifically included to provide a broader understanding of corruption across different levels of government.

Data Collection Procedure

Data was collected through document analysis and archival research. Legal documents, case records, and official reports were systematically reviewed to identify patterns of corruption, methods of fund diversion, procurement anomalies, and institutional responses. Relevant information was categorized according to themes such as abuse of authority, misuse of public funds, political patronage, and accountability mechanisms.

Method of Analysis

The study applied thematic and content analysis in interpreting the gathered data. Legal and factual findings from the selected cases were compared and evaluated to determine recurring trends and weaknesses in anti-corruption enforcement. The analysis also examined the effectiveness of Philippine accountability institutions in addressing public corruption and promoting transparency in governance.

Ethical Considerations

The study used publicly available documents and records; hence, no direct human participation was involved. Proper citation and acknowledgment of sources were observed to maintain academic integrity and avoid plagiarism. The research also ensured objectivity and neutrality in discussing legal cases and public officials involved in the study.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Corruption Propensity among Government Officials

This study examines the status of convicted violations under Republic Act No. 3019, otherwise known as the Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practices Act of the Philippines, focusing on the number, educational background, years of government service, and recent trends involving public officials charged with graft and corruption. The research highlights the role of the Office of the Ombudsman and the Sandiganbayan in investigating, prosecuting, and adjudicating corruption cases involving government officials at both national and local levels.

The findings reveal that corruption cases continue to persist despite the existence of anti-graft laws and accountability institutions. Data from the Office of the Ombudsman indicate fluctuating conviction rates over recent years, with approximately 47.49% conviction rate reported in 2025 and higher conviction rates recorded in some previous periods. However, the study emphasizes that thousands of cases remain unresolved due to judicial delays, case backlogs, appeals, and procedural complexities. These delays weaken the effectiveness of anti-corruption enforcement and reduce the deterrent effect of the law.

The study further classifies verified graft cases according to the educational background of the involved officials. Results show that many individuals implicated in corruption cases are highly educated professionals, including graduates of law, economics, business administration, accountancy, engineering, and political science. This suggests that higher educational attainment does not necessarily prevent involvement in corrupt practices. Instead, corruption appears to be linked more closely to institutional weaknesses, abuse of authority, and opportunities for misuse of public resources.

In addition, the research analyzes corruption cases based on years of government service. The findings indicate that both newly elected officials and long-serving public officers may become involved in graft-related activities. Cases involving officials with decades of public service demonstrate that prolonged exposure to political systems and administrative power may increase opportunities for corruption, although corruption is not limited to any specific tenure category.

The study also traces historical and contemporary trends in corruption in the Philippines, beginning from the Marcos era up to recent controversies involving ghost projects, procurement anomalies, and infrastructure scandals. Despite the enactment of anti-corruption laws such as RA 3019 and the Plunder Law, corruption remains a major challenge affecting governance, public trust, and national development. Recent cases involving alleged misuse of public funds in social welfare and infrastructure projects further demonstrate the continuing evolution of corruption schemes involving collusion between public officials and private entities.

The research concludes that while legal frameworks and accountability institutions exist, their effectiveness is hindered by systemic issues such as slow judicial processes, inconsistent conviction rates, and the complexity of corruption cases. The study recommends stronger enforcement mechanisms, faster disposition of cases, improved transparency in government transactions, ethical education for public officials, and greater civic participation in monitoring government activities. Ultimately, the study stresses that combating corruption requires not only punishment of offenders but also the development of a culture of accountability, integrity, and responsible public service.

Key Observations for Public Service

The findings show that while anti-corruption institutions such as the Office of the Ombudsman and the Sandiganbayan remain operational, their effectiveness is constrained by judicial delays, procedural inefficiencies, and the large volume of pending cases. Although convictions are regularly secured, the imbalance between filed cases and resolved convictions demonstrates continuing weaknesses in the Philippine justice system's ability to ensure swift accountability.

The research further reveals that educational attainment does not necessarily prevent corruption. Many convicted officials possess advanced academic and professional qualifications, indicating that corruption is more strongly linked to ethical failures, institutional weaknesses, and abuse of discretionary power rather than lack of education. Likewise, corruption cases involve both newly elected and long-serving public officials, although prolonged exposure to bureaucratic systems without effective oversight appears to increase vulnerability to unethical practices.

The study also highlights that corruption is a systemic issue rooted in institutional culture and personal value systems. Drawing from theories on corruption and human values, the paper explains that officials who prioritize self-enhancement values such as power, wealth, and personal achievement over public welfare and ethical responsibility are more likely to rationalize corrupt behavior. Corruption becomes easier to justify when material success is viewed as more important than integrity and public service.

In assessing ethical awareness, the study emphasizes the importance of Republic Act No. 6713, or the Code of Conduct and Ethical Standards for Public Officials and Employees. Ethical awareness refers to the ability of officials to recognize and follow moral and legal standards in public service. However, the research shows that while many government employees comply with ethical rules, such compliance often remains superficial and has not fully developed into a deeply rooted culture of integrity. Limited awareness of ethical laws and weak internalization of ethical principles contribute to increased corruption risks.

The findings further suggest that stronger ethical awareness positively affects job performance, service quality, efficiency, and accountability. Consequently, the study argues that reducing corruption requires not only stricter legal enforcement and auditing mechanisms but also long-term reforms focused on ethics education, civic responsibility, continuous training, and institutional integrity. Ultimately, the research concludes that combating corruption demands a preventive and values-oriented approach that strengthens both personal morality and institutional accountability within public administration.

IV. EXAMINE ACCOUNTABILITY PRACTICES

The study examines the role of accountability and transparency practices in addressing corruption among government officials in the Philippines. It emphasizes that accountability is not solely the responsibility of government institutions but also requires active participation from citizens through social accountability mechanisms. According to the ANSA-EAP framework, citizens are encouraged to monitor government budgets, projects, and public services to ensure that officials remain answerable for their actions. Civil society organizations and community groups also play an important role as watchdogs that promote transparency, expose irregularities, and encourage ethical governance through constructive engagement with government institutions.

The research further highlights the importance of institutional accountability, encompassing laws, regulations, performance evaluations, and monitoring systems that guide public officials and prevent the abuse of authority. However, despite these mechanisms, implementation remains inconsistent due to weak enforcement, political influence, personal connections, and inadequate monitoring systems. As a result, opportunities for corrupt practices continue to persist within government institutions.

Transparency is identified as a fundamental element of good governance because it strengthens public trust, accountability, and citizen participation. Transparent governance allows the public to access information regarding government decisions, budgeting, procurement, and policy implementation. In the Philippine context, transparency is supported by legal and institutional measures such as the 1987 Constitution, the Freedom of Information (FOI) Program under Executive Order No. 2, and the Transparency Seal Policy. These measures require government agencies to disclose important public information, including budgets, procurement transactions, and organizational structures.

The study also discusses how standardized government procedures, auditing systems, procurement laws, and digital platforms contribute to internal transparency and accountability. The use of digital systems improves record-keeping, monitoring, and coordination among agencies, making government processes more systematic and accessible. However, several challenges continue to hinder effective transparency, including bureaucratic inefficiencies, red tape, selective disclosure of information, lack of comprehensive FOI legislation, and poor interagency coordination. Government agencies often operate independently with limited communication, resulting in fragmented decision-making and inconsistent policy implementation.

Overall, the study concludes that while the Philippines has established strong legal and institutional foundations for accountability and transparency, its practical effectiveness remains limited by structural and administrative weaknesses. To reduce corruption effectively, the research recommends stronger enforcement of accountability measures, improved transparency practices, streamlined government procedures, enhanced interagency coordination, and the cultivation of a culture of openness and ethical responsibility within public service. Ultimately, the study stresses that sustained cooperation between the government, civil society, and citizens is essential in promoting good governance and reducing corruption.

Evaluate Transparency in Public Reporting/Access to Information

One major factor highlighted in the study is the availability of information. Government agencies are expected to regularly publish complete and accurate reports concerning budgets, expenditures, and public projects. When information is incomplete or withheld, opportunities for corruption increase because citizens cannot effectively examine how public resources are managed. Accessibility is also emphasized as an important component of transparency. Information should be easily accessible through official websites, public offices, and online platforms, and presented in a manner that ordinary citizens can easily understand. Highly technical or inaccessible reports reduce the practical value of transparency.

The study further explains that timeliness is critical in maintaining effective public reporting. Reports and updates must be released regularly and without unnecessary delay to allow the public to monitor ongoing government activities. Delayed disclosure weakens accountability because corrupt practices may remain undetected for extended periods. Access to information mechanisms, particularly Freedom of Information (FOI) policies, is also identified as an important tool for strengthening transparency and accountability, enabling citizens to request government records and official documents.

In addition, the research highlights the growing importance of digital platforms, such as government websites, open data systems, and social media, in promoting transparency. These platforms make government information more accessible to a broader audience and encourage public participation in governance. However, the study notes that several challenges continue to limit transparency efforts, including selective disclosure of information, outdated or incomplete data, overly technical reporting, and limited internet access in some communities.

Overall, the study concludes that transparency in public reporting and access to information are essential in preventing corruption, strengthening accountability, building public trust, and encouraging citizen participation. To improve transparency, the government must ensure that public information is complete, accessible, timely, understandable, and consistently available to all citizens.

Analyze Susceptibility to Bribery

Rather than simply identifying corrupt individuals as dishonest, the research explains that susceptibility is a dynamic condition influenced by internal moral weaknesses, external pressures, and systemic incentives. The study uses a multi-level framework composed of micro-, meso-, and macro-level factors to explain how ordinary individuals may gradually become susceptible to corruption.

At the micro-level, the study focuses on individual psychological vulnerabilities. It highlights the paradox of Public Service Motivation (PSM), where commitment to public service may protect officials from corruption, but excessive compassion can also make them vulnerable when bribery is framed as helping someone in need. The research also discusses Social Value Orientation (SVO), explaining that individuals with pro-social personalities may feel pressured to return favors after receiving small acts of kindness, which can eventually lead to unethical behavior. Another important factor is personal moral norms. When individuals no longer view corruption as conflicting with their identity or moral values, their psychological resistance to accepting bribes weakens.

At the meso-level, the study analyzes the influence of organizational culture and workplace environments on corruption susceptibility. Organizations that prioritize self-interest, profit, and personal gain create egoistic climates where unethical behavior becomes normalized. The Becker–Stigler Model further explains that individuals often calculate the risks and rewards of corruption. When organizations have weak transparency systems and low detection rates, bribery becomes more attractive. The study also highlights the "slippery slope" effect, where small unethical acts or socially accepted favors gradually desensitize individuals, making them more likely to engage in larger forms of corruption over time.

At the macro-level, the research examines the influence of societal culture and civic values. In societies where bribery is widely accepted or considered normal, individuals are more likely to view corruption as socially acceptable behavior. Cultural attitudes toward public service also affect integrity levels. Societies that view public officials as respected guardians of the public interest tend to demonstrate higher levels of honesty. Additionally, the study identifies civic honesty as one of the strongest predictors of corruption resistance, noting that societies with strong civic values and public trust generally experience lower levels of bribery.

Overall, the study concludes that susceptibility to bribery results from an interaction among personal predispositions, organizational environments, and societal norms. Corruption becomes more likely when individuals possess weak moral resistance, work in environments that tolerate unethical behavior, and operate within societies where corruption is normalized or weakly punished. The research emphasizes that preventing bribery requires not only legal enforcement but also ethical education, stronger organizational integrity systems, transparent governance, and the promotion of civic honesty and accountability across society.

The findings reveal that although the Philippines has established anti-corruption laws and enforcement institutions, such as the Office of the Ombudsman and the Sandiganbayan, corruption persists due to weak implementation, slow judicial processes, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and systemic governance problems.

The study shows that corruption is not limited to poorly educated or inexperienced officials. Many individuals implicated in graft cases possess advanced educational qualifications and long years of public service, indicating that corruption is rooted more in institutional and ethical failures than in lack of knowledge. Conviction data further reveal a significant gap between the number of filed cases and actual convictions, highlighting issues such as case backlogs, inconsistent enforcement, and delayed justice.

The research identifies corruption propensity as a multidimensional issue influenced by socio-economic conditions, political structures, organizational culture, ethical awareness, and transparency systems. Poverty, unemployment, income inequality, weak law enforcement, political patronage, and toxic organizational environments increase vulnerability to corruption. At the individual level, factors such as moral disengagement, weak ethical internalization, and susceptibility to bribery contribute to unethical behavior. Institutional weaknesses, including poor accountability mechanisms, selective transparency, and ineffective monitoring systems, further normalize corrupt practices.

The study also emphasizes the damaging effects of corruption on governance and society. Corruption weakens public service delivery by diverting resources away from healthcare, education, infrastructure, and social services. It erodes public trust in government institutions, reinforces inequality, reduces civic participation, and contributes to long-term economic inefficiency and political instability. Policy failures, weak coordination, and short-term political interests further aggravate governance challenges.

To address these issues, the study proposes integrating anti-corruption principles into civic education. The proposed curriculum focuses on ethical values such as honesty, integrity, accountability, fairness, and responsibility. It promotes participatory and experiential learning strategies, including case studies, simulations, project-based learning, and community engagement, to strengthen students' ethical awareness and civic responsibility. The study concludes that combating corruption requires not only stricter law enforcement but also long-term institutional reforms, stronger transparency and accountability systems, and comprehensive civic education that develops morally responsible and actively engaged citizens.

V. CONCLUSION

This study explains that corruption in public service is a complex, systemic issue shaped by the interplay of personal values, institutional weaknesses, political structures, economic pressures, and organizational culture. It emphasizes that even highly educated and experienced public officials may engage in corruption, suggesting that education and experience alone are insufficient safeguards. Instead, corruption is largely determined by the strength of ethical values, the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms, and societal attitudes toward corruption.

The findings highlight that the consequences of corruption are severe and far-reaching, particularly in the Philippines. Misuse of public funds disproportionately affects poor and vulnerable populations who depend on government services such as healthcare, education, infrastructure, and social welfare. Beyond material losses, corruption undermines public trust, weakens democratic institutions, and reduces civic participation. Over time, these effects reinforce a "corruption trap," where weak institutions, normalized misconduct, and low public trust make reform increasingly difficult. The persistence of delays in justice and inconsistent convictions further weakens the deterrent effect of anti-corruption laws.

Understanding this, readers will see that politicians' decisions are based on the party's will, not on the truth. Regardless of the consequences of the decisions, practicality to stay in power prevails over reality. This utilitarian mentality leads to bribery, which in turn breeds government distrust.

To address these challenges, the study proposes a proactive civic education curriculum as a long-term solution. The curriculum focuses on values formation, experiential and participatory learning, case-based discussions, and strengthened accountability within educational settings. It aims to develop individuals who internalize ethical principles such as honesty, responsibility, fairness, and civic responsibility. Ultimately, the study argues that sustainable anti-corruption reform requires shaping citizens who view public service not as a means for personal gain, but as a commitment to the public good, supported by strong institutions and a culture of integrity.

REFERENCES

[1]. Ashforth, B. E., & Anand, V. (2003). The normalization of corruption in organizations. Research in Organizational Behavior, 25, 1–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-3085(03)25001-2

[2]. Azfar, O., & Gurgur, T. (2008). Does corruption affect health outcomes in the Philippines? Economics of Governance, 9, 197–244. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10101-006-0031-y

[3]. Baker, D. P., Leon, J., Greenaway, E. G. S., Collins, J., & Movit, M. (2011). The education effect on population health: A reassessment. Population and Development Review, 37(2), 307–332. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1728-4457.2011.00412.x

[4]. Bennett, R. E. (2015). The changing nature of educational assessment. Review of Research in Education, 39(1), 370–407. https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X14554179

[5]. Cambridge University Press. (n.d.). Patronage appointments in the Philippine public service. https://www.cambridge.org

[6]. Chen, N., Wei, C., Wu, K., & Uden, L. (2008). Effects of high-level prompts and peer assessment on online learners' reflection levels. Computers & Education, 52(2), 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.08.008

[7]. Commission on Audit. (n.d.). https://www.coa.gov.ph

[8]. Contreras, G., Orate, J., & Pudan, J. (2025). Role of the Commission on Audit in a landscape of endemic corruption: The case of six government agencies in the Philippines. DIT ADS International Multidisciplinary Research Journal. https://doi.org/10.63941/dit.adsimrj.2025.1.2.12

[9]. Correct Philippines. (2020). Graft and corruption in the Philippines. https://correctphilippines.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Graft-and-Corruption-in-the-Philippines-2.pdf

[10]. Davis, D., Mendoza, R., & Yap, J. (2023). Corruption risk and political dynasties: Exploring the links using public procurement data in the Philippines. Economics of Governance, 25, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10101-023-00306-4

[11]. Freedom of Information Philippines. (2023). FOI program. https://www.foi.gov.ph

[12]. Gorsira, M., Steg, L., Denkers, A., & Huisman, W. (2018). Corruption in organizations: Ethical climate and individual motives. Administrative Sciences, 8(1), 4. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci8010004

[13]. Ian, K., & Saguin, C. (2012). Implementing the Citizen's Charter in the Philippines: Insights from selected local government units. (Publication details incomplete)

[14]. Laut, A., Mariano, A., Ontolan, F., Baracamonte, N., Aguado, C., & Ponce, S. (2013). Graft and corruption practices among selected public officials in Mindanao, Southern Philippines. Jurnal Studi Pemerintahan, 4. https://doi.org/10.18196/jgp.2013.0013

[15]. Luo, J. (2024). A critical review of GenAI policies in higher education assessment. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 49(5), 651–664. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2024.2309963

[16]. Mendoza, R., Bulaong, O., & Mendoza, G. (2025). Anti-corruption reforms in the Philippines: Quo vadis? Millennial Asia, 16, 364–387. https://doi.org/10.1177/09763996251333209

[17]. Mendoza, R., & Cruz, J. (2020). Governing the "golden age of infrastructure": Transparency innovations in Philippine infrastructure development. Asian Politics & Policy, 12, 175–204. https://doi.org/10.1111/aspp.12527

[18]. Newton, P. E. (2007). Clarifying the purposes of educational assessment. Assessment in Education, 14(2), 149–170. https://doi.org/10.1080/09695940701478321

[19]. OECD. (2021). Government transparency and accountability. https://www.oecd.org
[20]. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (n.d.). Public integrity. https://www.oecd.org
[21]. Office of the Ombudsman. (n.d.). Mandate and functions. https://www.ombudsman.gov.ph
[22]. Panadero, E., & Alqassab, M. (2019). An empirical review of anonymity effects in peer assessment. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 44(8), 1253–1278. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2019.1600186
[23]. Panadero, E., Tapia, J. A., & Huertas, J. A. (2012). Rubrics and self-assessment effects on learning. Learning and Individual Differences, 22(6), 806–813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.04.007
[24]. Philippine Statistics Authority. (2024). Governance and public administration statistics. https://psa.gov.ph
[25]. Quimbo, R., et al. (n.d.). Anti-corruption in the Philippines. Global Compliance News. https://www.globalcompliancenews.com
[26]. Respicio & Co. Law Firm. (2025). Anti-graft and corrupt practices act overview. https://www.respicio.ph
[27]. Republic of the Philippines. (1960). Republic Act No. 3019. https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/1960/08/17/republic-act-no-3019/
[28]. Republic of the Philippines. (1987). The 1987 Constitution of the Philippines. https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/constitutions/1987-constitution/
[29]. Republic of the Philippines. (1989). Republic Act No. 6770. https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/1989/11/17/republic-act-no-6770/
[30]. Republic of the Philippines. (1991). Republic Act No. 7080. https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/1991/07/12/republic-act-no-7080/
[31]. Saha, S., & Gounder, R. (2021). Corruption and economic development. Journal of Economic Issues, 55(2), 430–438.
[32]. Sahagun, J. (2025). The corruption in the Philippines and imperative systemic change. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net
[33]. Schein, E. H. (2010). Organizational culture and leadership (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
[34]. Schwartz, S. H. (2012). An overview of the Schwartz theory of basic values. Online Readings in Psychology and Culture, 2(1).
[35]. Tan, E. S. (2020). Corruption and governance in the Philippines. Journal of Southeast Asian Governance.
[36]. Transparency International. (2023). Corruption perceptions index 2023. https://www.transparency.org
[37]. Transparency International. (n.d.). What is corruption? https://www.transparency.org
[38]. Treviño, L. K., & Nelson, K. A. (2021). Managing business ethics: Straight talk about how to do it right. Wiley.
[39]. UNDP. (2022). Governance for sustainable development. https://www.undp.org
[40]. UNODC. (n.d.). Anti-corruption education. https://www.unodc.org
[41]. UNESCO. (n.d.). Global citizenship education. https://www.unesco.org
[42]. Wang, H. (2021). Comparative analysis of land rights in Asia. Asian Journal of Law, 34, 201–220. https://doi.org/10.1080/10288860.2021.44
[43]. World Bank. (2020). Enhancing government effectiveness and transparency. https://www.worldbank.org

Glenn L. Velmonte, ***Ph.D., J.D., Ed.D.***
Cebu Normal University